

DETECTION OF ANTIBIOTICS RESIDUES IN BEEF IN GHNAWA SLAUGHTERHOUSE, KHARTOUM STATE, SUDAN

الكشف عن متبقيات المضادات الحيوية في لحوم العجول في مسلح
غناوة، ولاية الخرطوم، السودان

Mohamed Bashir Wahab Alla¹; Twfig Eltigani Mohamed²
and Atif Elamin Abdelgadir^{2*}

محمد بشير وهب الله، توفيق التيجاني محمد وعاطف الأمين عبد القادر*

1. Ministry of Animal Resources and Fisheries- Ganawa Slaughterhouse, Khartoum State, Sudan
2. Department of Preventive Medicine & Public Health, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Khartoum, , P. O. Box 32, Khartoum North, Sudan

المستخلص

صممت هذه الدراسة لفحص متبقيات المضادات الحيوية في لحوم الابقار في سلخانة غناوة بولاية الخرطوم . طريقة البحث اعتمدت على جمع المعلومات من ملوك الابقار (n=50) و الصيدليات البيطرية(n=50) بواسطة الاستبيان بالإضافة لاختبار الطبق الواحد لتحديد متبقيات المضادات الحيوية في مختلف الاعضاء للابقار . النتيجة الاساسية اوضحت ان معظم ملوك الحيوانات 76% (n=44) يستشرون الاطباء البيطريينو معظم الاطباء البيطريين في الصيدليات 76% (n=44) يتذمرون تحديد الجرعة و طريقة اعطاء الدواء لملاك الحيوانات . استخدام الطبق الواحد اظهر من 300 بقرة (17.33%) 52 كانت موجبة في واحد او اكثر من عضو و ليس هناك اختلاف معنوي بين الموسم و متبقيات المضادات الحيوية $P = 0.698$, $\chi^2 = 0.720$, $P = 0.05$. 0.05 من 300 عينات كلی تم فحصها 30 اظهرت نتائج موجبة بينما من 300 عينات اكباد تم فحصها 23 كانت موجبة و من 300 عينات عضلات تم فحصها 9

* Corresponding author: Dr. Atif Elamin Abdelgadir, Department of Preventive Medicine & Public Health, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Khartoum, , P. O. Box 32, Khartoum North, Sudan

(3%) كانت موجبة . وجد ان اعلى نسبة عينات موجبة في مجموعة الاعمار 4-6 سنوات (χ^2) = 286.260, $P = 0.000$, $P < 0.01$ بالإضافة لذلك الابقار المهجنة صاحبت اعلى عدد عينات موجبة(χ^2 = 397.293, $P = 0.000$, $P < 0.01$) . خلاصة هذه الدراسة اوضحت ان هناك سوء في استخدام المضادات الحيوية في الابقار المعدة لانتاج اللحوم و نسبة العينات الموجبة كانت عالية .

Abstract

The study was planned to detect antibiotics residues present in beef Ghanawa slaughterhouse, Khartoum State. The methodology was based on collection of information from the owners of the cattle (n=50) , and Veterinary Pharmacies (n=50) by means of questionnaire as well as the One Plate Test (O. P. T.) employed for estimation of antibiotics residues in different organs of the cattle. The main results showed that most of the owners did not consult veterinarians 88% (n=44). Furthermore, the majority of Veterinarians in Pharmacies 76% (n=38) left dose estimation and administration to the owners. Using one Plate Test (O. P.T.) revealed that out of 300 cattle 52 (17.33%) showed positive results in one or more of their organs and there was no significant correlation level recorded for season and antibiotic residues (χ^2 = 0.720, $P = 0.698$, $P > 0.05$). Out of 300 kidneys tested, 30 (10%) showed positive result, while out of 300 livers tested, 23 (7.66%) were positive, and out of 300 muscles tested 9 (3%) were positive. The age group of 4-6 years was scored high positive percentage (19.49%), (n=46 out of 236 of that age group) (χ^2 = 286.260, $P = 0.000$, $P < 0.01$). Moreover, the cross-breed had the higher percentage of positive (20%) (n=2 out of 10 of that breed) (χ^2 = 397.293, $P = 0.000$, $P < 0.01$). In conclusion, the findings of this work indicated a problem of misusing of antibiotics in cattle for meat production and the percentage of positive samples was considered to be high.

Keywords: Antibiotic residues, Beef, Sudan

Introduction

Antibiotics are widely used in animal health practice in Sudan, as in many other countries. Occurrence of veterinary drug residues in edible animal tissues is a global problem. Residues of antibacterial drugs have been reported in slaughtered beef cattle, and veal calves (Guest and Paige, 1991; Wilson, et al 1991). Standard regulatory protocols have been

established in many countries to avoid Slaughtering animals harboring residues (Corrigan, 1992; Fitzpatrick, 1990; Norcross, and Brown, 1991). Carcasses have also been inspected in order to eliminate residue contaminated meat from the food chain .Residues occur mostly when animals are slaughtered within the withdrawal period of the drug (Guest and Paige, 1991), and particularly when treated with an extra label dose (Krainock, 1991; Riviere, 1991). Veterinary drugs are generally used in farm animals for therapeutic and prophylactic purposes and they include a large number of different types of compounds which can be administrated in the feed or in the drinking water. In some cases, the residues may proceed from feed stuffs (Mc Evoy 2002). But these substances may exert other effects when administrated to animals for other purposes like growth promotion .Important effects mainly due to the presence of residual antibiotics consist in allergic reactions or the selection of a resistant bacteria that could be transferred to human through the food chain (Butaye et al, 2001) .In addition, the consumption of trace levels of antimicrobial residues in food from animal origin may have consequences on the indigenous human intestinal micro flora which constitutes an essential component of human physiology (Volland and Clasener, 1994). This micro flora acts as a barrier against colonization of gastro-intestinal tract by pathogenic bacteria, (Volland and Clasener, 1994) and has an important role for food digestion. So, the ingestion of trace level of antimicrobials in food must take into account potentially harmful effects on the human gut flora (Cerniglia and Kotarski, 1999).

In view of all these circumstances, foods of animal origin must be monitored for the presence of veterinary drug residues. Therefore the main objective of this work was to detect antibiotics residues in cattle's meat in Ganawa slaughterhouse, Khartoum state, Sudan.

Materials and Methods

Area of study:

This study was done in Khartoum state, Sudan. Samples examined for detecting antibiotics residues were collected from Ghanawa slaughterhouse which is distributing cattle meat to the most of main meat markets and the majority of meat factories in the State.

Questionnaire Survey:

The questionnaire was designed in order to have basic information about the manner of using antibiotics in Khartoum State. Information of the questionnaire was collected from fifty Veterinary system Pharmacies: in Omdurman (30 pharmacies in Abu Zaid, Alsalam), Khartoum North (15 pharmacies in Hilat Kuku) and Khartoum (5 pharmacies in Abu Hamama). Information was also collected from fifty owners: who have cattle yards around Ghanawa slaughterhouse (35 owners), around Alsabologna slaughterhouse (10 owners) and around Alhoda slaughterhouse (5 owners).

Collection of samples:

The target samples were the tissue fluids of kidneys, livers and muscles related to the same animals and were collected by filter papers. A total of 900 samples (out of 300 cattle) were tested for antibiotics residues in different seasons.

One Plate Test (O. P.T.):

One plate test (O .P .T) was used as described by Korean-Dierick et al. (1995) and Nada Khalifa (1996).Tested organism was *Bacillus subtilis* (strain ATCC6633). The test depends on bacterial growth inhibition. Inhibition zone appears around the filter paper which contained tissue fluid of samples. The sample was considered to be positive when the inhibition zone was 2 mm and more, doubtful when it was 1-2 mm, and negative when it was less than 1 mm.

Sterilization:

Hot air oven:

The method was used for sterilization of clean glass containers, which were wrapped in paper or put in stainless steel cans; temperature was 160 °C for one hour (Stainer et al., 1986).

Sterilization by red heat:

The method was used for sterilizing wire loops, straight wire and tissue forceps. (Cruick- Shank et al, 1975).

Sterilization by autoclaving:

The method was used for sterilization of culture media and for materials that could not withstand the dry heat. The temperature was 115-121 °C under 10- 15- pound pressure for 15-20 minute (Barrow and Feltham, 1993).

Test medium:

Standard nutrient agar in the form of dehydrated powder was used (Oxoid limited). The medium contained per liter;

Peptone	5 grams
Beef extract	3 grams
Sodium chloride	8 grams
Agar No. 2	12 grams

Test organism: *Bacillus subtilis* (strain ATCC6633)

Filter papers: (Watt man 9):

Circle filter papers of 1cm in diameter, and then sterilized by autoclaving at 121 °C for 15 minutes in glass bottles and kept for use.

Samples handling tools:

These were stainless steel forceps, blades and eppendorf tube. They were used in cutting and handling kidney, liver and muscle samples.

Preparation of samples:

Sampling depends on deep incision throw the target organ and a filter paper attached for seconds to absorb the tissue fluid, then transferred to abendorf tube which had a label. Abendorf tubes were kept in refrigerator at 4 °C.

Nutrient agar preparation:

Twenty eight grams of nutrient agar powder (Oxoid limited) was placed in 1000 ml of distilled water. The medium was autoclaved at 121 °C for 15 minutes. When the medium was cooled to 50 °C. Twenty ml of the medium was distributed into each petri dish and the solidified agar was kept in refrigerator at 4 °C.

Preparation of standard test organism culture:

Bacillus subtilis was seeded in nutrient broth. Sporulation culture medium was perpetrated in 500 ml flat slide bottles closed loosely with screw caps for adequate aeration. Each bottle contained nutrient broth culture of *Bacillus subtilis* and incubated at 37 °C for 48 hours until 90% of culture formed spores.

Test procedures

One ml of standard organism was added to 20 ml of nutrient agar in each petri dish. Then mixed and left for 10 minutes to solidify on a level surface bench. With a clean dry and sterile forceps picked up filter paper and tested. Plates were incubated at 37 °C until growth is visible within 24 hours. Zone of inhibition was observed around the filter paper when the sample contained antibiotic measured in millimeter by the ruler. Negative samples did not show such clear zone (Negative= less than 1mm). Interpretation of the results was done as mentioned before (Nada Khalifa, 1996).

Data Analysis:

Data was analyzed using SPSS 13.0 for Windows

Results

Data on the way of using antibiotics was obtained by means of questionnaire from the owners of the cattle (n=50) and Veterinary Pharmacies (n=50). The main results showed that addition of antibiotics in both drinking water and food was 4% (n=2), and most of the owners 88% (n=44) did not consult the Veterinarian. Majority of Veterinarians in Pharmacies 76% (n=38) left dose restriction and administration to the owners the rest of the results are presented in Table 1 and 2. Out of 300 cattle tested in different seasons for antibiotics residues, 52 (17.33%) cattle showed positive result in one or more organs. While, 19 (6.33%) cattle showed doubtful result and there was no effect of season concerning presence of antibiotic residues ($\chi^2 = 0.720$, $P = 0.698$, $P > 0.05$) (Table 3). Out of 300 kidneys samples tested, 30 (10%) were found to be positive and 8 (2.66%) were doubtful. While, out of 300 livers samples tested, 23 (7.66%) were found to be positive and 18 (8%) were doubtful. Out of 300 muscle samples tested, 9 (3%) were found to be positive and 13 (6%) were found to be doubtful (Tables 4). Cattle at age group of 4-6 years scored high positive percentage (19.49%) (n=46 out of 236 of that age group), while cattle between 2-4 years had the lower percentage of positive (7.54%), (n=4 out of 53 of that age group) ($\chi^2 = 286.260$, $P = 0.000$, $P < 0.01$) (Figure 1). The cross-breed cattle had higher

percentage of positive (20%), (n=2 out of 10 of that breed) ($\chi^2 = 397.293$, $P = 0.000$, $P < 0.01$) (Table 5).

Table1: Results of questionnaire survey responded by the owners (n=50) of the cattle

Unit	Count (%)
1- Antibiotics additions to food	
a- Yes	2 (4%)
b- No	48 (96%)
c- Some times	0
3- Addition of antibiotics in both drinking water and food	
a- Yes	2 (4%)
b- No	48 (96%)
c- Some times	0
4- Additions under supervision of veterinarian	
a- Yes	0
b- No	2 (4%)
c- Some times	0
5- Consultation of Veterinarian	
a- Yes	4 (8%)
b- No	44 (88%)
c- Some times	2 (4%)
6- Presence of drugs in cattle yard administer by owner in emergencies	
a- Yes	37 (74%)
b- No	10 (20%)
c- Some times	3 (6%)
7- Consideration of withdrawal period	
a- Yes	12 (24%)
b- No	5 (10%)

c- Some times	33 (66%)
8-Knowledgeot the owners about administration of antibiotics in purchased cattle	50 (100%)
a- Yes	0
b- No	0
c- Some times	

Table 2: Results of Veterinary Pharmacies (n=50)
Questionnaire survey

Unit	Count (%)
1-Importance of prescription	
a-Yes	6 (12%)
b-No	44 (88%)
c-Some times	0
2-Whole sale vendition for antibiotics	
a- Yes	32 (64%)
b- No	0
c- Some times	18 (36%)
3- presence of balance for estimation of weight	
a- Yes	0
b- No	50 (100%)
c- Some times	0
4- Leaving dose restriction and administration to owner	
a- Yes	38 (76%)
b- No	2 (4%)
c- Some times	10 (20%)
5- Following up of cases by veterinarian	
a- Yes	5 (10%)
b- No	43 (86%)
c- Some times	2 (4%)
6- Guiding owner to restrict dose and route of administration	
a- Yes	45 (90%)
b- No	2 (4%)
c- Some times	3 (6%)
7- Guiding owners for withdrawal period	
a- Yes	38 (76%)
b- No	9 (18%)
c- Some times	3 (6%)
8- The most sales were broad spectrum antibiotics	50 (100%)

a- Yes	0
b- No	0
c- Some times	

Table 3: Animals tested for antibiotics residues in different seasons

Season	Tested animal	<u>Positive</u>		<u>Doubtful</u>	
		<u>Negative</u>	Count (%)		
Summer	100	24 (24%)	8 (8%)	68 (68%)	
Autumn	100	16 (16%)	4 (4%)	80 (80%)	
Winter	100	12 (12%)	7 (7%)	81 (81%)	
Total	300	52 (17.33)	19 (6.33%)	229 (74.33%)	

Cut-off point: Positive= 2mm and more Doubtful= 1mm-2mm

Negative= less than 1mm

Season: $\chi^2 = 0.720$, $P = 0.698$, $P > 0.05$

Table 4: Tested animals for antibiotic residues using different organs in different seasons


Season	Tested animals*	<u>Positive</u>			<u>Negative</u> <u>(Kidney- Liver- Muscle)</u>
		<u>Doubtful</u> <u>(Kidney- Liver- Muscle)</u>	<u>Count (%)</u>	<u>(Kidney- Liver- Muscle)</u>	
Summer	100	14 (14%) - 11 (11%) - 6 (6%)	2 (2%) - 10 (10%) - 5 (5%)	84 (84%) - 79 (79%) - 89 (89%)	
Autumn	100	8 (8%) - 8	3 (3%) - 1	89 (89%) - 91	

		(8%) - 1 (1%)	(1%) - 3 (3%)	(91%) - 96 (96%)
Winter	100	8 (8%) - 4 (4%) - 2 (2%)	3 (3%) - 7 (7%) - 5 (5%)	89 (89%) - 89 (89%) - 93 (93%)
Total	300	30 (10%) - 23 (7.66%) - 9 (3%)	8 (2.66%) - 18 (8%) - 13(6%)	262 (87.33%) - 259 (86.33%) - 278 (92.66%)

* tested animals for each organ

Cut-off point: Positive= 2mm and more Doubtful= 1mm-2mm
Negative= less than 1mm

Figure 1: Association between antibiotic residues and age groups in years



Cut-off point: Positive= 2mm and more Doubtful= 1mm-2mm
Negative= less than 1mm

$$\chi^2 = 286.260, P = 0.000, P < 0.01$$

Table 5: Comparison of antibiotics residues in different breeds

Breed	Tested animals	Positive	Doubtful	
		Negative	Count (%)	
Nialawy	147	27 (18.36%)	11 (7.48%)	109 (74.14%)
Kinana	11	2 (18.18%)	1 (9.09%)	8 (72.72%)
Kuror	18	2 (11.11%)	0	16 (88.88%)
Baggara	85	15 (17.64%)	6 (7.05%)	64 (75.29%)
Butana	21	3 (14.28%)	1 (4.76%)	17 (80.95%)
Qash	8	1 (12.5%)	0	7 (87.50%)
Cross-breed	10	2 (20%)	0	8 (80%)
Total	300	52 (17.33%)	19 (6.33%)	229 (76.33%)

Cut-off point: Positive= 2mm and more

Doubtful= 1mm-2mm

Negative= less than 1mm

$$\chi^2 = 397.293, P = 0.000, P < 0.01$$

Discussion

The residues of antibiotics and it's metabolites in cattle's meat and other food prodcing animal cause adverse toxic effects on consumer's health. This study of antibiotic residues in Khartoum state cattle's meat was detected as hazards for human consumption. Out of 300 cattle tested for antibiotic residues 52 (17.33%) were found to be positive, which indicated misusing of antibiotics by Veterinarian and owners as well as

absences of monitoring of antibiotics residues in slaughterhouses. Summer season showed a largest number of positive animals 24 (24%), however there was no significant association obtained for season and antibiotic residues. There was a high stress in summer season resulted from high temperature's degrees and dryness of natural pastures which lead to mal nutrition and diseases. In contrast, winter season showed lowest number of positive animals 12 (12%) due to fine temperature and natural pastures are rich for grazing of animals.

Organs tested for antibiotic residues showed that kidneys had a highest percentage of positive than other organs 30 (10%). This finding is agreed with Hala Idris observations (2006) (24.63%) in poultry. Most of antibiotics excretion done by kidney such as animoglycosides, chloramphinicols and tetracycline. Most of positive cases was detected in age group of 4-6 years (19.49%). Our results disagreed with Masztis (1984) who found (0%) result in tested bulls and calves in Canada. The disagreement could be attributed to the number of cattle tested, animal husbandry and production system in Canada. Cross-breed animals showed higher percentage of positive than local breeds. This is due to the fact that cross-breed animals are more susceptible to various diseases than local breed and this required extensive usage of antibiotics.

Questionnaire survey revealed that Veterinarian did not record the exact weight of animal when describing doses which lead to over-dosing or sub-dosing, and there was no following up of cases after leaving the clinic or Pharmacy (86%). Furthermore, the veterinarian leave dose estimation and administration to owner (76%). Similarly, Swant et al (2005) found the same results during his survey on antibiotic usage in dairy herds in Pennsylvania. Guiding owners for withdrawal period was exist (76%). The most antibiotics sold were the broad spectrum antibiotics (100%), which indicated absence of diagnostic methods in clinics and veterinary pharmacies and they only depended on tentative diagnosis and using umbrella treatment. The same finding was reported by Ibrahim Daoud (2010) who found most of veterinary drugs selling were antibiotics and clinics and pharmacies use umbrella treatment. When cattle's yards far from center of city owners purchase huge amount of antibiotics which are administrated in emergencies (74%) and sometimes slaughter their animals during treatment with antibiotics (32%) or before completing

withdrawal period (66%). This explained the high percentage of antibiotics residues in cattle's meat during our study. Moreover, there was no records for cattle came from the markets concerned last dose administrated with antibiotics. Hence, cattle might be slaughtered during treatment period or before completing withdrawal period (100%). Our finding was previously confirmed by Hala Idris (2006) who observed a high percentage (16.87%) of antibiotics residues in Khartoum state at Sudan.

Conclusion:

Our survey indicated a problem of misusing of antibiotics in cattle for meat production and the percentage of positive samples was relatively high compared to studies in others countries. Presence of residues of antibiotics in beef as observed in the present study has a great public health concern. Human consumption of these beef may be associated with the development of antibiotic resistance in human pathogen that are difficult to treat with the commonly available antibiotics.

Recommendations:

- 1- Using antibiotics residues screening tests in meat inspection as routine.
- 2- Restriction of weight exactly before describes doses.
- 3- Calculated doses and it's administration must be done by Veterinarians.
- 4- Using diagnostic methods in clinics and veterinary pharmacies before treatment.
- 5- Following up cases during treatment periods.
- 6- Concerning the importance of withdrawal period.
- 7- Stop the whole sale vendition of antibiotics for owners.

Acknowledgment:

The authors thank the Veterinarians in Veterinary Pharmacies and Clinics, the cattle's owners, the staff of Ghanawa slaughterhouse as well as the technicians at Department of Preventive Medicine & Public Health-Faculty of Veterinary Medicine-University of Khartoum.

References

Barrow, G.I. and Feltham, R.K.A. (1993). Cowan and Steel's manual for the identification of medical bacteria ed. London: Cambridge University press.

Butaye, P., Devriese, L.A., & Haesebrouck, F., (2001). Differences in antibiotic resistance patterns of *Entrococcus faecalis* and *Entrococcus faecium* strains isolated from farm and pet animals. *Antimicrobial Agent and Chemotherapy*, 45, 1374-1378.

Cerniglia, C.E., & Kotarski, S., (1999). Evaluation of veterinary drug residues in for their potential to affect human intestinal micro flora. *Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology*, 29, 238-261.

Corrigan, P.J., (1992). Australia's National residue control program. In proceedings of the third world congress of food borne infections and intoxications (pp. 736-741), Berlin, 16-19 June .

Cruick Shank, R.; Duguid, J.P.; Marmon, B.P. and Swain, R.H.A. (1975). *Medical Microbiology*. 12th ed. London: Longman group limited.

Fitzpatrick,S.C.,(1990). New food safety initiatives in the food and drug administration . *Journal of Animals Science*, 68: 870-873.

Guest, G.B., &Paige, J.C.,(1991). The magnitude of the tissue residue problem with regard to consumer needs. *Journal the American Veterinary Medical Association*. 198 , 805-809 .

Hala, I., M., S. (2006). Residues of Antibiotic in Poultry Meat, Thesis of Master degree (M. V. Sc.) in Preventive Medicine, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Khartoum.

Ibrahim, D., J., T.(2010). Survey on Private Veterinary Pharmacies and Clinics in North Sudan, Thesis of Master degree (M. V. Sc.) in Preventive Medicine, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Khartoum.

Koenen-Dierick, K.; Okerman, L.; DeZutter, L.; Degroodt, J.M.; Van Hoof, J.; and Srebrink, S. **(1995)**. A one-plate microbiological screening test for antibiotic residue testing in kidney tissue and meat: An alternative to the EEC four-plate method?. *Food Additives & Contaminants: part A*, 12: 1, 77-82.

Kraninock, R.J., **(1991)**. Prolonged milk residue in two cows after subcutaneous injection of penicillin at an extra-label dose. *Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association*, 198, 862-863.

Masztis, P.S. **(1984)**. Antibiotic Residues Testing in a Beef Slaughterhouse. *Can. Vet. J.* 25: 329-330.

Mc Evoy, J.D.G., **(2002)**. Contamination of animal feed stuffs as cause of residues in food: A review of regulatory aspect, incidence and control. *Analytica Chimica Acta*, 473, 3-26.

Nada Khalifa Mohamed Monsour **(1996)**. Safety of meat and fish (Sahat el lihom wa elusmack) part one. 1th ed. University of Omer Elmokhtar, Leibya. Pp 294-297.

Nocross, M.A., & Brown, J.L., **(1991)**. Food safety and inspection in meat and poultry. *Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association*, 198, 819-824.

Riviere, J.E., **(1991)**. Pharmacologic principles of residue avoidance for veterinary practitioners. *Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association*, 198, 809-816.

Stanier, R.X.; Ingraham, J.L.; Elis, M.L. and Painter, P.P. **(1986)**. The microbial word. 5th ed. New Jersey: Pristice Hall.

Swant, A.A., Sordillo, L.M. and Jayaroa, B.M. **(2005)**. A survey on antibiotic usage in dairy herds in Pennsylvania. *Journey of Dairy science*. 88: 2991-2999.

Vollard, E.J., & Clasener, H.A.L., (1994). Colonization resistance. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy, 38, 409-414.

Wilson, D.J., Franti,C.E.,& Norman,B.B., (1991). Antibiotic and sulfonamide agents in “bob” veal calf muscle, liver and kidney. American Journal of Veterinary Research ,52, 1383-1387.